

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/993,736	PHILPOTT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634

All Participants:

(1) Jeanine A Goldberg.

Status of Application: after non-final

(3) _____.

(2) Kathryn Piffat.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to suggest claim language to overcome the rejections of record. The claims were amended to recited where in the second solid medium comprises a dry solid medium. The examiner explained that the claim would still encompass a dry solid medium which was wetted since the solid medium would comprises a dry solid medium. Further the claim does not require that a dry second solid medium is contacted with the cells. The applicant indicated that they would like an action in writing.